Judge Pechman

CR 02-255 #6

FILED ENTERED
LODGED RECEIVED

JUL 3 0 2002

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON' AT SEATTLE CLERK US DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
DEPUTY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

NO. CR02-255P

PLEA AGREEMENT

DONAVON C. CLAFLIN,

Defendant.

FILED Under Scal-

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

2

4

5

6 7

₿

9

10

11

12

Come now the United States of America, by and through Mark Bartlett, Acting United States Attorney, and Jeffrey B. Coopersmith, Ye-Ting Woo, and Richard E. Cohen, Assistant United States Attorneys for the Western District of Washington, and the defendant, DONAVON C. CLAFLIN, and his attorney, David B. Bukey, and enter into the following Agreement, pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 11(e).

- Waiver of Indictment. Defendant, having been advised of the right to be charged by Indictment, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the charges brought by the United States Attorney in an Information.
- 2. The Charges. Defendant, having been advised of the right to have this matter tried before a jury, agrees to waive that right and enter a plea of guilty to the following charges contained in the Information By entering this plea of guilty, Defendant hereby waives all objections to the form of the charging document.

27 28

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY
601 UNION STREET, SUITE \$10k
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3949
(206) 553-7970

a. Conspiracy to Commit Securities Fraud, Unlawful Sale of Unregistered Securities, and Mail Fraud, as charged in Count 1 of the Information, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371; and

- b. Securities Fraud, as charged in Count 2 of the Information, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 77q(a) and 77x.
- 3. <u>The Penalties.</u> Defendant understands that the maximum statutory penalties for Counts 1 and 2 of the Information are as follows:
- a. <u>Count 1 (Conspiracy</u>): imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100) penalty assessment.
- b. <u>Count 2 (Securities Fraud)</u>: imprisonment for up to five (5) years, a fine of up to two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$250,000), a period of supervision following release from prison of between two (2) and three (3) years, and a one hundred dollar (\$100) penalty assessment.

Defendant understands that the sentences for Counts 1 and 2 of the Information may be imposed consecutively, such that the Court may sentence the defendant to a maximum of ten (10) years imprisonment, and a five hundred thousand dollar (\$500,000) fine. The Court may also impose an alternative fine based on gain or loss equal to twice the gross gain or twice the gross loss. The defendant further understands and agrees that he will be required to pay a total penalty assessment of two hundred dollars (\$200) at or before the time of sentencing.

Defendant agrees that any monetary penalty the Court imposes, including the special assessment, fine, costs or restitution, is due and payable immediately, and further agrees to submit a completed Financial Statement of Debtor form as requested by the United States Attorney's Office.

Defendant understands that supervised release is a period of time following imprisonment during which he will be subject to certain restrictions and requirements.

- c. The Court may impose any sentence authorized by law, including a sentence that, under some circumstances, departs from any applicable Sentencing Guidelines range up to the maximum term authorized by law;
- d. The Court is not bound by any recommendation regarding the sentence to be imposed, or by any calculation or estimation of the Sentencing Guidelines range offered by the parties, or by the United States Probation Department; and
- e. Defendant may not withdraw a guilty plea solely because of the sentence imposed by the Court.
- Ultimate Sentence. Defendant acknowledges that no one has promised or guaranteed what sentence the Court will impose.

7. Elements of the Offenses.

- a. The elements of the offense of Conspiracy to Commit Securities

 Fraud, Unlawful Sale of Unregistered Securities, and Mail Fraud, as charged in Count 1

 of the Information, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 371, are as

 follows: (i) there was an agreement between Defendant and at least one other person to

 commit securities fraud, the unlawful sale of unregistered securities, or mail fraud, or at

 least one of these offenses; (ii) Defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing

 of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish such object or objects; and

 (iii) one of the members of the conspiracy performed at least one overt act for the purpose

 of carrying out the conspiracy.
- b. The elements of the offense of Securities Fraud, as charged in Count 2 of the Information and as an object of the conspiracy charged in Count 1 of the Information, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 77q(a) and 77x, are as follows. (i) in the offer or sale of securities Defendant employed a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud; obtained money or property by means of untrue statements of material facts or failure to state material facts which made what was said, under the circumstances, misleading; or engaged in a transaction, practice, or course of business that operated, or would operate, as a fraud or deceit upon a purchaser; (ii) Defendant acted willfully,

 knowingly, and with the intent to defraud; and (iii) Defendant used, or caused to be used, any means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or the mails in furtherance of the scheme.

- 8. Restitution. Defendant understands that the Court will order the defendant to pay restitution to victims of the offense, as required by law. Defendant agrees to make restitution to all victims of the offenses of conviction, as well as to all victims who incurred losses caused by all of defendant's relevant conduct, including, without limitation, all investors in Znetix, Inc., Project X, Inc., Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., Cascade Point LLC, Cascade Pointe of Arizona LLC, Cascade Pointe of Nevis, LLC, and all affiliated entities. Defendant shall receive credit for any amounts already paid or collected. The total restitution amount shall be due and payable immediately upon sentencing, and shall be paid in accordance with a schedule of payments as set by the United States Probation Office and ordered by the Court in accordance with the procedures set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3663, 3663A, and 3664. Defendant's restitution obligation shall be joint and several with any other individuals who are charged and convicted of having been involved in the same conspiracy and scheme to defraud.
- 9. <u>Loss Amount</u>. The United States and Defendant agree that the correct amount of the loss is between fifty million dollars (\$50,000,000) and one hundred million dollars (\$100,000,000) for purposes of applying the applicable loss table in the Sentencing Guidelines.
- 10. <u>Statement of Facts</u>. The parties agree on the following facts in support of Defendant's guilty plea and for purposes of calculating the base offense level of the Sentencing Guidelines. Defendant admits he is guilty of the charged offenses.

COUNT 1 - THE CONSPIRACY

From in or about 1995, and continuing thereafter until a time unknown but at least until in or about October 2001, at Seattle, Bellevue, and Bainbridge Island, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, DONAVON C. CLAFLIN, together with other persons known and unknown to the United States Attorney, did unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combine, conspire, confederate and

agree among themselves and each other to commit certain offenses against the United States, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

7 8

a. Health Maintenance Centers, Inc. ("HMC") was incorporated in Washington State on May 12, 1995, and was administratively dissolved and reinstated at various times throughout the period from on or about December 6, 1995, through on or about October 30, 2000. Defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN was employed by HMC beginning in 1995 and from at least as early as 1997 was HMC's treasurer and the authorized signer for most of the bank accounts maintained by HMC. The Articles of Incorporation for HMC provided that the corporate purposes of HMC were "[t]o operate health and exercise clubs, and related facilities" and "[t]o engage in any business, trade or activity which may be conducted lawfully by a corporation organized under the Washington State Business Corporation Act." The Articles of Incorporation for HMC also provided that "[t]his corporation is authorized to issue 10,000 shares of common stock and each share shall have a par value of \$1.00." On February 5, 1997, HMC filed Articles of Amendment that provided, among other things, that "[t]his corporation is authorized to issue 15,000,000 shares of common stock and each share shall have a par value of \$1.00." On or about December 26, 2001, HMC ceased to exist as a Washington State corporation and merged with a Delaware corporation known as HMC Acquisition Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Znetix, Inc. Also on or about December 26, 2001, HMC Acquisition Corp. changed its name to Health Maintenance Centers, Inc.

b. Project X, Inc., was incorporated in the State of Washington on November 3, 1999. On October 3, 2000, Project X filed Articles of Amendment with the Washington State Secretary of State changing its name to Znetix, Inc. On or about September 25, 2001, Znetix, Inc., ceased to exist as a Washington State corporation and merged with a Delaware corporation known as Znetix, Inc.

c. From in or about 1995 through a time unknown but at least until in or about October 2001, HMC, Project X, and Znetix, and affiliated entities, through various sales agents and at the direction and with the participation of persons known and unknown to the United States Attorney, including defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN, solicited and received in excess of \$50 million from investors. At no time were the offers and sales of securities issued by HMC, Project X, Znetix, and affiliated entities registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, Securities Division, or with the securities regulatory authorities in any other state.

d. On or about April 9, 2001, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, Securities Division, issued a Summary Order to Cease and Desist against HMC and an individual known to the United States Attorney. The Cease and Desist Order, among other things, barred HMC (and its employees, officers and directors, including defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN) from selling securities through fraudulent representations and material omissions, and in violation of the State of Washington's securities registration statute.

e. Cascade Pointe LLC was a limited liability company formed in Washington State on or about May 2, 2001. Cascade Pointe of Arizona LLC was a limited liability company formed in Arizona in or about July, 2001. Cascade Pointe of Nevis LLC was a limited liability company established in the Carribean nation of Nevis

PLEA AGREEMENT (Donavon C Classim, Case No CR02-255R) - 6

on or about July 26, 2001. From on or about May 2, 2001 through in or about January 2002, Cascade Pointe, through various sales agents and at the direction of persons known and unknown to the United States Attorney, solicited and received in excess of \$12 million from investors. During at least a portion of this period, defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN maintained bank accounts that received deposits of over \$7 million raised by Cascade Pointe from investors. At no time were the offers and sales of securities issued by Cascade Pointe and affiliated entities recistered with the United States Securities and 3 4 by Cascade Pointe and affiliated entities registered with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, the State of Washington Department of Financial Institutions, Securities Division, or with the securities regulatory authorities in any other state. 5 OBJECTS OF THE CONSPIRACY 6 The objects of the conspiracy were as follows: 7 8 To knowingly and willfully obtain money and property by means of omissions to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, and engage in acts, practices, and courses of business that operated and would operate as a fraud or deceit upon purchasers in the offer and sale of securities issued by HMC, Project X, Znetix, Cascade 9 10 Pointe, and affiliated entities by the use of the means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce and of the mails, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 77q(a) and 77x; 12 To knowingly and willfully cause to be carried through the mails and in interstate commerce, for the purpose of sale or delivery after sale, securities for which no registration statement was in effect, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Sections 77e(a)(2) and 77x; To knowingly and willfully participate in using and causing the United States mail and interstate couriers to be used in furtherance and execution of a scheme and artifice to defraud investors in HMC, Project X, Znetix, Cascade Pointe, and affiliated entities, and a scheme and artifice for obtaining money and property of said investors by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1341;

MANNER AND MEANS OF THE CONSPIRACY

11

13 14

15

16

17 18

25

26 27

28

19 i. The essence of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud was that investors in HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe were falsely promised that if 20 they purchased securities issued by these companies for one dollar per share, they would be greatly enriched at the point when Znetix engaged in an initial public offenng ("IPO") and had its shares traded on public exchanges such as NASDAQ. Investors who purchased HMC stock were told that they would receive four shares of Znetix for every 21 22 share of HMC. Investors were led to believe that the IPO was imminent and that after the IPO the stock would be traded at prices substantially above one dollar per share, but at no time did Znetix have any ability to engage in an IPO, and at no time did Znetix have any relationship with investment banking or underwriting firms who were working on an IPO 23 24 for Znetix.

J. It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that in the offer and sale of the securities issued by HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe, defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN and others known and unknown to the United States

PLEA AGREEMENT (Donavon C Claflin, Case No CR02-255R) - 7

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 601 Union Street, Scree 5100 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-3903 (206) 553-7970

¹ Cascade Pointe LLC, Cascade Pointe of Arizona LLC, and Cascade Pointe of Nevis LLC are collectively referenced in this Information as "Cascade Pointe."

1 2	Attorney knowingly and willfully failed to truthfully and accurately disclose in a registration statement, prospectus, private placement memorandum or in any other form material facts, including but not limited to:
3	the uses to which investors' money would be put, including
4	that the investors' money had been and would be used to purchase millions of dollars worth of luxury cars, boats, homes, jewelry, and other items;
5	ii. the financial condition of HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe;
6 7	iii. the lack of financial controls at HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe;
8	iv. the compensation to be received by defendant DONAVON C CLAFLIN and others associated with HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe;
9	v. that HMC, Project X, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe were under
10	common management and control;
11	vi. that HMC had sold more than its authorized amount of shares.
12	k. It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that the
13	coconspirators used millions of dollars of investor funds to promote the scheme by sponsoring hydroplane and offshore racing boats, the Seattle Mariners major league baseball team, and other prominent organizations and events, by hosting lavish parties in
14	Los Angeles and elsewhere, and by paying professional sports stars to wear items imprinted with the Znetix logo.
15	_
16 17	l. It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney paid employees, consultants and sales agents of Znetix, HMC, Cascade Pointe, and related entities unreasonably high salaries, commissions, and other compensation to work, wittingly or unwittingly, in furtherance of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud.
18	m. It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that the
19 20	coconspirators employed multi-level marketing techniques and various sales agents to sell the securities of HMC, Znetix, and Cascade Pointe to over five thousand investors throughout the United States.
21	
22	n. It was a part of the conspiracy and scheme to defraud that defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney signed and issued thousands of stock certificates and promissory notes to investors, some
23	of which were backdated.
24	OVERT ACTS
	In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to promote the objects thereof,
25 26	defendant DONAVON C. CLAFLIN and others known and unknown to the United States Attorney committed and caused to be committed, among others, the overt act described in Count 2 of the Information, and the following:
27	o. On or about April 10, 1998, defendant CLAFLIN signed check
28	number 1661 in the amount of \$5,830.34, drawn on a U.S. Bank account in the name of American HMC LLC, payable to "Brothers" for the purchase of a 1997 Bombardier jet ski watercraft.

1	On an about December 10, 1000, 1, 5, 1, 1, 07, 177, 77, 1
1 2	p. On or about December 10, 1999, defendant CLAFLIN signed a "Corporate Resolution & Stock Certificate" reflecting a \$5,000 investment in HMC by an investor with the initials M.S. located in Kirkland, Washington.
3	
4	q. On or about January 13, 2000, defendant CLAFLIN signed a "Corporate Resolution & Stock Certificate" reflecting a \$4,000 investment in HMC by an investor with the initials M.S. located in Kirkland, Washington.
5	r. On or about June 26, 2000, a cashier's check was purchased in the amount of \$25,000, with funds from a U.S. Bank account in the name of Health
6 7	Maintenance Centers, Inc., payable to Europa for a 2000 Mercedes Benz 500CV automobile.
,	s. On or about August 4, 2000, defendant CLAFLIN signed check
8	number 1103 for the amount of \$10,000, drawn on a U.S. Bank account in the name of National Alliance, payable to Phil Smart for a 2000 Mercedes ML55 automobile.
9	
10	t On or about September 29, 2000, defendant CLAFLIN authorized a wire transfer in the amount of \$53,178.82, with funds from a U.S. Bank account in the name of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., payable to Transnation Title Insurance
11	Company for the purchase of a condominium in Redmond, Washington, for his own personal use.
12	On or phone December 15, 2000, J. Sandard CT A DE TAY, 11
13	u. On or about December 15, 2000, defendant CLAFLIN authorized check number 1201 in the amount of \$18,983.72, drawn on a U.S. Bank account in the name of National Alliance, Inc., payable to Nuvell Financial Services for the payoff
14	purchase of a 1994 Landrover ROV.
15	v. On or about December 27, 2000, defendant CLAFLIN purchased cashier's check number 5021882717 in the amount of \$25,000, with funds from a U.S.
16 17	Bank account in the name of Project X, Inc., payable to Executive Auto Consultants for the purchase of a 2000 Mercedes G500 automobile.
	w. On or about February 9, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN signed an
18	unnumbered counter check in the amount of \$20,000, drawn on a First Mutual Bank account in the name of Health Maintenance Centers. Inc American Integrated Health
20	payable to Title Guaranty Escrow Services for the purchase of property in Princeville, Hawaii
	x. On or about March 7, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN signed a
21	"Corporate Resolution & Stock Certificate" reflecting a \$7,000 investment in HMC by an investor with the initials N.S. located in Aliso Vicio. California, and hackdated such
22	Corporate Resolution & Stock Certificate to December 31, 2000.
23	y. On or about March 23, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN authorized a wire transfer in the amount of \$725,000, with funds from a First Mutual Bank account in the
24	name of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc American Integrated Health, payable to Title Guaranty Escrow Services for the purchase of property in Princeville, Hawaii.
	z. On or about March 26, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN authorized a wire
26	transfer in the amount of \$90,000, with funds from a First Mutual Bank account in the name of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc American Integrated Health, payable to Hall
27	Pantera, Inc., for the purchase of a 1972 DeTomaso Pantera automobile.

aa. On or about April 3, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN authorized a wire transfer in the amount of \$24,635.29 drawn on a U.S. Bank account in the name of 2 National Alliance, Inc., payable to Knight & Fraser Capital LTD. bb. On or about April 6, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN authorized a wire transfer in the amount of \$174,698.75, with funds from a First Mutual Bank account in 3 the name of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc.- American Integrated Health, to The Bank 4 of Nova Scotia, Nassau, Bahamas, for account number 6077-18 in the name of Graham, 5 Thompson & Co. cc. On or about April 17, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN signed check number 1096 in the amount of \$330,000, drawn on a First Mutual Bank account in the name of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc.- American Integrated Health, payable to Lido 7 Jewelry, the money was used for the purchase of a seven carat diamond ring. 8 dd. On or about June 29, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN signed a Promissory Note in the amount of \$10,000, payable from HMC, Inc., to an investor with the initials D.N. located in Houston, Texas. 10 ee. On or about July 24, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN signed a Promissory Note in the amount of \$901,500, payable from HMC, Inc., to an investor with the initials N.S. located in Aliso Viejo, California. 11 12 ff. On or about August 10, 2001, defendant CLAFLIN signed check number 11063 in the amount of \$50,000, drawn on a Wells Fargo Bank account in the name of Health Maintenance Centers, Inc., dba HPC, payable to Nightwind Enterprises. 13 14 **COUNT 2 - SECURITIES FRAUD** 15 On or about July 30, 1999, within the Western District of Washington, and elsewhere, the defendant, DONAVON C. CLAFLIN, in the offer and sale of shares of stock in Health Maintenance Centers, Inc. ("HMC"), securities within the meaning of Title 15, United States Code, Section 77b(a)(1), tid knowingly and willfully obtain money and property by means of omissions to state material facts necessary to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and engage in transactions, practices, and courses of business which operated 16 misleading; and engage in transactions, practices, and courses of business which operated and would operate as a fraud and deceit upon the purchaser, in that defendant CLAFLIN did knowingly and willfully use and cause to be used the mails and means and instruments of transportation and communication in interstate commerce to issue a "Corporate Resolution & Stock Certificate" reflecting the purchase of 5,000 shares of HMC stock by investors with the initials D.K. and D.K. located in Antelope, Oregon, and to send such document from a place within the Western District of Washington to

11. Cooperation.

Antelope, Oregon.

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Defendant shall cooperate completely and truthfully with law enforcement authorities in the investigation and prosecution of other individuals involved in criminal activity. Such cooperation shall include, but not be limited to, complete and truthful statements to law enforcement officers, as well as complete and truthful testimony, if called as a witness before a grand jury, or at any state or federal trial, retrial,

PLEA AGREEMENT (Donavon C Claffin, Case No CR02-255R) - 10

United States attorney 601 Union Street, Saite 5100 Seattle, Washington 98101-3903 (206) 553-7970

or other judicial proceedings. Defendant acknowledges that this obligation to cooperate shall continue after Defendant has entered guilty pleas and sentence has been imposed, no matter what sentence Defendant receives; Defendant's failure to do so may constitute a breach of this Plea Agreement.

- b. Defendant understands that the United States will tolerate no deception from him. If, in the estimation of the United States Attorney, information or testimony provided from the date of the Plea Agreement, proves to be untruthful or incomplete in any way, regardless of whether the untruthfulness helps or hurts the United States' case, the United States Attorney for the Western District of Washington may consider that Defendant has breached this Plea Agreement.
- c. The United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington, in turn, agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any other offenses, other than crimes of violence, that Defendant may have committed in the Western District of Washington prior to the date of this Agreement about which: (1) the United States presently possesses information; or (2) Defendant provides information pursuant to this Agreement to cooperate with the authorities.
- d. The parties agree that information provided by Defendant in connection with this Plea Agreement shall not be used to determine Defendant's sentence, except to the extent permitted by U.S.S.G. § 1B1 8.
- e. In exchange for Defendant's cooperation, as described above, and conditioned upon Defendant's fulfillment of all conditions of this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney agrees to consider filing a motion, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 5K1.1, permitting the Court to sentence Defendant to less than the otherwise applicable Sentencing Guideline range.
- f. Defendant agrees that his sentencing date may be delayed based on the United States' need for his continued cooperation, and agrees not to object to any continuances of his sentencing date sought by the United States.

- 12. Acceptance of Responsibility. The United States acknowledges that if Defendant qualifies for the two-point acceptance of responsibility adjustment pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(a), and if the offense level is sixteen (16) or greater, Defendant's total offense level should be decreased by an additional one (1) level pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b), because Defendant has assisted the United States by timely notifying the authorities of his intention to plead guilty, thereby permitting the United States to avoid preparing for trial and permitting the Court to allocate its resources efficiently.
- with this Plea Agreement, the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington agrees not to prosecute Defendant for any additional offenses known to it as of the time of this Agreement that are based upon evidence in its possession at this time, or that arise out of the conduct giving rise to this investigation. In this regard, Defendant recognizes that the United States has agreed not to prosecute all of the criminal charges that the evidence establishes were committed by Defendant solely because of the promises made by Defendant in this Agreement. Defendant acknowledges and agrees, however, that for purposes of preparing the Presentence Report, the United States Attorney's Office will provide the United States Probation Office with evidence of all relevant conduct committed by Defendant. The agreement stated in this paragraph does not apply to crimes of violence.
- 14. <u>Voluntariness of Plea</u>. Defendant acknowledges that he has entered into this Plea Agreement freely and voluntarily, and that no threats or promises, other than the promises contained in this Plea Agreement, were made to induce Defendant to enter these pleas of guilty.
- 15. In the event that this Agreement is not accepted by the Court for any reason, or Defendant has breached any of the terms of this Plea Agreement, the statute of limitations shall be deemed to have been tolled from the date of the Plea Agreement to the later of: (1) 30 days following the date of non-acceptance of

7

11 12

13

10

14 15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23 24

25 26

> 27 28

Agreement apply only to conduct that occurred prior to the execution of this Agreement. If, after the date of this Agreement, Defendant should engage in conduct that would warrant an increase in Defendant's adjusted offense level or justify an upward departure under the Sentencing Guidelines (examples of which include, but are not limited to: obstruction of justice, failure to appear for a court proceeding, criminal conduct while pending sentencing, and false statements to law enforcement agents, the probation officer

the Plea Agreement by the Court; or (2) 30 days following the date on which a breach of the Plea Agreement by Defendant is discovered by the United States Attorney's Office.

17. <u>Completeness of Agreement</u>. The United States and Defendant acknowledge that these terms constitute the entire Plea Agreement between the parties. This Agreement only binds the United States Attorney's Office for the Western District of Washington. It does not bind any other United States Attorney's Office or any other office or agency of the United States, or any state or local prosecutor.

or Court), the United States is free under this Agreement to seek a sentencing

DATED: This _3412 day of July, 2002.

enhancement or upward departure based on that conduct.

DONAVON C. CLAFLIN

Defendant

DAVID B. BUKEY Attorney for Defendant

JEVFREY B. COOPERSMITH Assistant United States Attorney